ERN Support and Training programme- WP17- EJP RD

Report Workshop “Advances in diagnostic and therapeutic methods for lymph flow abnormalities”

Date/Venue/Format

9-10. September 2021, hybrid (online and at RUMC, Nijmegen, the Netherlands)

The first workshop and symposium on lymph flow abnormalities was financed by EJP RD, allowing Europe’s best experts to participate and present. Due to the ongoing COVID-19 measurements, and after procrastinating twice, the workshop was held online for several speakers and all participants, whereas the Dutch speakers where on site in Nijmegen, the Netherlands, in a professional media studio. The program consisted of 2 days of presentations of the best experts in the field, with the first day program focusing on the diagnosis of central lymph flow disorders, and the second day focusing on the therapy of central lymph flow disorders. Both days were well attended and the discussions after each session was lively. The workshop was rated as excellent, with high quality and useful contents. Many were disappointed that the workshop was on line, however understandable. We all look forward to a second workshop in person to strengthen the European collaborations on clinical and scientific projects concerning lymph flow abnormalities.

Numbers (participants, speakers, ERNs represented, patient representatives):

- Speakers: 16
- Participants (Clinicians): 28
Analysis of the workshop satisfaction survey

20 participants have responded to the survey.

3. Country of residence

4. Overall, how would you rate the quality of this workshop?
5. Please rate the usefulness of this workshop contents for your future research-professional activity?

- Highly useful: 18
- Useful: 2
- Not at all useful: 0

6. Were the training contents appropriate for your level of knowledge/expertise?

- Too easy/basic: 0
- Just right: 20
- Too difficult/complex: 0
7. Please rate your satisfaction level for the following aspects of the workshop.

Weitere Details

- Very satisfied
- Satisfied
- Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied

Date and time

Connection process

Speakers

Organisation (agenda, information delivery, support during the meeting)

Structure of the programme

8. How much do you agree with the following?

Weitere Details

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

The workshop allowed to interact satisfactorily

There was enough time for Q&A

The workshop allowed me to gain better understanding in the topic

There were appropriate learning objectives set

The learning objectives have been met

« This project has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the EJP RD COFUND- EJP N° 825575”
10. What did you like about the workshop?

- variety of specialists
- The structured program. 1 day of diagnostics, one day of therapy.. I have learned a lot
- Some interesting speakers, time for discussion, group size
- The knowledge shared definitely broaden my professional experience. I admired sharing innovative techniques of visualization of the thoracic duct.
- programme and multidisciplinary approach
- everything although I would have preferred a live meeting
- just perfect
- interactivity and the small group
- I thought the topics were very interesting. The speakers were experts (my opinion) on these topics, therefore their presentations were very interesting to me and I learned a lot. The organisation was excellent!
- Interactive
- wider the scope of possibilities of treatment
- the interaction
- Interesting topic
- the knowledge of the speakers on the subjects was excellent. I liked the interactivity and the use of lots of patient cases to illustrate the theory.
- format.
- Toepasbaar in de praktijk
- High quality of lectures and interactive process
11. What did you dislike about the workshop?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Some connections issues. I had them at the same time as other participants, only suggestion was to find a better wifi point (while using cable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case discussion too short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was really disappointed by the fact, that it was 'online' version. Hopefully next year we will all have opportunity to meet in person.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no social meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>that we could not meet in person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The only thing I can think of is that I would have preferred a live workshop. Of course, it is nobody's fault that that wasn't possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not much ; )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Could you please comment on ways the workshops (and related procedures) could be improved?

| next time live! |
| Live would be better. Unfortunately, this was not possible. |
| mpore time for case discussion |
| perfect online meeting |
| next time live including socializing |
| more case discussions |
| even more interdisciplinarity |
| I don't have any suggestions, I really enjoyed this workshop. |
| the were good |
| geen |
| Next time live :) |
13. How important are CME points for you for this kind of training events?

- Extremely important
  (I would register only if the)

- Neutral
  (CME points are important, but I would register for a training event based on the programme/content)

- Irrelevant
  (for me receiving CME points for such training events is not important at all)